और उपस्कर की आपूर्ति के लिए मांग व्यवस्था में साझेदारी का प्रस्ताव अभी भारतीय रिजर्व बैंक के विचाराधीन है। जबकि एक पक्षकार ने दावा किया है कि दूसरे पक्षकार ने अपने बौद्धिक सम्पत्ति अधिकारों का उल्लंघन किया है, दूसरी ओर उत्तरवर्ती पक्षकार ने इस पर विवाद किया है।

Misuse of vabal scheme

2612. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA:
SHRI GHUFRAN AZAM:

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a newsitem captioned "Big row over misuse of VABAL Scheme" as reported in the Hindustan Times dated the 24th February, 1994;

(b) if so, whether DGFT have also brought the misuse of VABAL schemes to the notice of Government; and

(c) if so, the reaction of Government in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI M. V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Director Geenral of Foreign Trade has constituted an inter-Ministerial Committte to look into the value Based Advance Licensing Scheme.

Non-passng of excise concessions to consumers

2613. PROF. VIJAY KUMAR MALHOTRA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Ministry of Civil Supplies, Consumer Affairs and Public Distribution had forwarded to the Ministry of Finance a copy of the report of the Bureau of Industrial Costs and Prices (BICP) on the non-passing of excise concessions by the manufacturers/industries to the consumers and had also requested to take action against the industries/maunfacturers who did not either reply to BICP or cooperate with that; and

(b) if so, what action was taken by his Ministry, giving the names of the industries/manufacturers who either did not reply, or cooperated with BICP and have not passed the excise concessions to the consumers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI M. V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY): (a) Yes Sir, a copy of the BICP report was received from the Ministry of Civil Supplies, Consumer Affairs and Public Dstiribution. While forwarding the BICP report, that Ministry had suggested that Ministry of Finance may consider studying the case of companies who did not cooperate with BICP team for proper action.

(b) The names of 38 firms/companies who had not replied to the BICP for details as required for their study is enclosed as Statement. (See below) No action was taken by the Ministry of Finance against the firms/companies for not sending replies to BICP or who might not have passed on the excise concession in full to the consumers. There is no provision in the central excise laws for taking action against any manufacturer for not passing on the benefit of duty concession to the consumers.

STATEMENT

1. MAN-MADE FIBRES

1. M/s. Bongaigaon Refinery & Petrochemicals Ltd.
2. M/s. Century Enka Ltd.
3. M/s. DCL Polysters Ltd.

. M/s. Ester India Ltd.
5. M/s. Grasim Industries Ltd.
6. M/s. Gujrat Filaments Ltd.
7. M/s. Gujrat Nylons Ltd.
8. M/s. Haryana Petrochemicals Ltd.
9. M/s. Hindustan Pipe Udyog Ltd.
10. M/s. ICI India Limited.
11. M/s. Indian Organic Chemicals Ltd.
12. M/s. JCT Limited.
13. M/s. Modipon Ltd.
14. M/s. Orissa Synthetics Ltd.
15. M/s. Parasrampuria Synthetics Ltd.
16. M/s. Shree Synthetics Ltd.
17. M/s. Shriram Fibres Ltd.
18. M/s. Sterling Steel Wires Ltd.
19. M/s. Swadeshi Polytex Ltd.

II TEA

20. M/s. Warren Tea Ltd.
21. M/s. Tata Tea Ltd.
22. M/s. Harrison Malyalam Ltd.

III. PVC Resin

23. M/s. Reliance Petro Chemicals
24. M/s. Shriram Fertilisers and Chemicals
25. Indian Petrochemicals Ltd.

IV. BISCUITS

26. M/s. Ampro Products Ltd.
27. M/s. Bakemans Industries Pvt. Ltd

V. DRY CELL BATTERIES

28. M/s. Geep Industrial Syndicate Ltd.
29. M/s. Punjab Anand Batteries Limited.
0. M/s. National Ltd.

VI. COSMETICS

31. M/s. Balsara Hygiene Products Ltd.

VII MOPEDS

32. M/s. Escorts Limited.
33. M/s. Kinetic Honda Motors Limited.
34. M/s. Majestic Auto Limited

VIII DRUGS & FORMULATIONS

35. M/s. Dabur India Ltd.
36. M/s. Hamdard Laboratories
37. M/s. Nicholas Laboratories (India) Ltd.

IX TOOTH POWDER

38. M/s. Dabur India Ltd.

Modification in warehousing law

2614. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry has urged that the warehousing laws be reviewed and modified;

(b) if o, the reaction of the Government in this regard;

(c) the details of the views expressed by Federation of Indian Chamber of Commerce and Industry; and

(d) the details of recommendations of FICCI likely to be implemented?